

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT TACOMA

10 MICHAEL ANTHONY ABELS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 KRISTEN SKIPWORTH, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

No. C10-5033 BHS/KLS

ORDER TO AMEND OR SHOW CAUSE

15 This civil rights action has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Karen L.  
16 Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4.

17 Presently before this Court for review is Mr. Abels' complaint. Dkt. Upon review of the  
18 complaint, however, it appears that Mr. Abels is attempting to file an action that is a duplication  
19 of Case No. C07-5303RBL, in which judgment was entered against Mr. Abels and in favor of  
20 Kristen Skipworth, Harold Clarke and Norman Hill. Dkt. 140. Mr. Abels' appeal of the  
21 judgment was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction on January 20, 2010. Dkt. 159.

22  
23 *DISCUSSION*

24 Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Court is required to screen  
25 complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or  
26 employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must dismiss a complaint

ORDER TO AMEND OR SHOW CAUSE - 1

1 or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally “frivolous or malicious,” that  
2 fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a  
3 defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915A(b)(1), (2) and 1915(e)(2); See  
4 *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193 (9th Cir. 1998).

5 A complaint is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. *Neitzke v.*  
6 *Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th Cir.  
7 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an  
8 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. *Neitzke*,  
9 490 U.S. at 327. A complaint or portion thereof, will be dismissed for failure to state a claim  
10 upon which relief may be granted if it appears the “[f]actual allegations . . . [fail to] raise a right  
11 to relief above the speculative level, on the assumption that all the allegations in the complaint  
12 are true.” See *Bell Atlantic, Corp. v. Twombly*, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1965 (2007)(citations omitted).  
13 In other words, failure to present enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on the  
14 face of the complaint will subject that complaint to dismissal. *Id.* at 1974.  
15

16 Unless it is absolutely clear that amendment would be futile, however, a pro se litigant  
17 must be given the opportunity to amend his complaint to correct any deficiencies. *Noll v.*  
18 *Carlson*, 809 F.2d 1446, 1448 (9th Cir. 1987).  
19

20 In the present complaint, Mr. Abels sues Kristen Skipworth, supervisor of the Lincoln  
21 Park/Rap House Work Release of the Department of Corrections. Dkt. 1-1. Mr. Abels claims  
22 that Ms. Skipworth signed an order of arrest and transferred Mr. Abels back to prison and in  
23 isolation after receiving an accusation from Mr. Abels’ co-worker, Angela Howell, that Mr.  
24 Abels was sexually harassing and stalking her. *Id.*, p. 2.  
25  
26

1 Mr. Abels made these same allegations in Case No. 07-5303RBL. In that case, judgment  
2 was rendered in favor of Defendant Skipworth and against Mr. Abels.

3 Due to the deficiencies described above, the Court finds that dismissal of Mr. Abels'  
4 complaint is proper as his claims have already been adjudicated by this court. However, Mr.  
5 Abels will be given an opportunity to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed no  
6 later than **April 2, 2010**.

7  
8 Mr. Abels is cautioned that if he fails to adequately address the issues raised herein on or  
9 before **April 2, 2010**, the Court will recommend dismissal of this action. The Clerk is directed to  
10 send a copy of this Order, a copy of the General Order.

11 Dated this 8th day of March, 2010.

12  
13  
14   
15 Karen L. Strombom  
16 United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26